

Rewards for anticorruption

Saso Klekovski

Round Table: The Anti-Corruption Agenda for Southeast Europe after the 2016 Enlargement Package: How to Break the State Capture Deadlock and Make Enlargement Deliver Again?

30 November 2016 European Parliament, Brussels





CSOs role and experience in anticorruption

- Main role in creation of legal and institutional framework for anticorruption
- Role in oversight the state institutions responsible for anti-corruption
- Role in demanding responsibilities and proposing measures for better policies and performance





CSO role and experience in anticorruption

- in 2014, established CSO anti-corruption platform: 15 most relevant CSOs for good governance and anti-corruption
- Common analysis, events, reactions and recommendations to the current political situation in the country
- Influence to the new state program for anticorruption





CSO role in anti-corruption

- Established cooperation for good governance/open institutions: inclusion in creation and implementation of OGP action plan
- Ministry of information society and administration open to publicly shows the results of independent (CSO) monitoring of the openness of institutions





CSO role and experience in anticorruption

- Prepared Blueprint by nine CSOs and supported by several others with recommendations for overcoming the political crisis in the country
- One of main areas with recommendations related to anti-corruption





Corruption is not a key concern for citizens

Different than in other region country corruption is ranked fifth on the list of social problems

Growth of corruption pressure and involvement in corruption.

In 2014 every fourth citizen was asked for a bribe, while in 2016 almost every third citizen was faced with such a request.





Corruption is not sanctioned.

Asked and offered citizens for bribe: 501,934/480,540 citizens.

Meaning half a million crimes of corruption have been committed, but only 14 for bribe and 193 for abuse of office

Indicates that only 0.04% of the cases end in conviction.





 Reporting cases of corruption is a non-existant practice among citizens.

83.5% of the citizens did not report a case of corruption to an institution in charge of complaints versus only 7.4% who did report a case to an institution.

Increased trend of acceptability of corruption.

Increased tolerance or acceptance of corruption by young people aged 18 to 29: less than half (49.6%) of young people consider it unacceptable to give money to solve a problem, which is by as high as 17.3 percentage points less than the average inacceptibility of the population (66, 3%)





- Corruption is widespread. Nearly two-thirds of citizens (62.7%) consider corruption to be widespread among officials.
- Judges, ministers and MPs are perceived as the most corrupt.
- Citizens are not optimistic about eradicating corruption. 15.1% of citizens consider that the widespread corruption can be reduced, while nearly half (47.8%) of the citizens consider that corruption will always exist





- The legislative and institutional framework has been developed over a decade
- No progress was achieved in the past years: Corruption remains prevalent in many areas and continues to be a serious problem.
- Political interference in the work of relevant bodies, hampering their ability to act proactively and non-selectively, especially in high level





Needed measures:

- demonstrating real political will by providing law enforcement
- improving public awareness and trust in the fight against corruption by increasing the visibility of anti-corruption measures and the results achieved
- developing a credible track record on fighting high level corruption, including asset recovery





Needed measures:

- Implementing an effective legal framework for the protection of whistle-blowers, in line with European standard
- Reviewing the status and composition of the SCPC to make it more transparent, meritbased and independent from political parties





- Advocacy measures for anti-corruption as main pressure to the institutions should remain supported by no-state funds (preferably by EU and in line to the recommendation given in the country report)
- Evidence based advocacy, preferably based on comparative analysis shows most effects in policy changing





- Consultation with CSOs for the Country Indicative strategy paper (ISP) 2014-2020 with clear role of CSOs in combating corruption
- (In Macedonia) already proposed measures during the current revision of the ISP





- Provided recommendations for the ISP -Anticorruption:
- Combating corruption on all levels is needed.
- CSOs should be supported to work on raising awareness among citizens about corruption issues.
- CSOs should be supported in monitoring the performance of relevant institutions.
- Strong demand for changing the system for selection of the members of the State Commission for Prevention of Corruption in terms of their impartiality should be address by EU.
- EU should continue with technical assistance for further capacity building of the SCPC secretariat.





- Provided recommendations for the ISP-Public administration reform.
- Need for narrow focus on the principles of the merit and equitable representation.
- Openness of the institutions should be additionally supported.
- The main challenge will be the in-depth change of the entire system of public participation in policy and law making processes, and especially the attitudes of the high level politicians for these processes





- Provided recommendations for the ISP-Judiciary.
- The main support that EU should provide in the future is for the Special Prosecution Office in terms of political, but also technical assistance.
- To address the impartiality and it highly depended on the government and the ruling party policy.
- The Academy for Judges and Prosecutors should be supported to act as a completely independent body without any interference from politics.
- Without exception the new appointed judges and prosecutors should be selected from the Academy list.



